



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING-DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/943,571	08/29/2001	Assaf Henkin	KABAP003	2541

22434 7590 08/20/2007  
BEYER WEAVER LLP  
P.O. BOX 70250  
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250

EXAMINER
----------

BOVEJA, NAMRATA

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3622

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

08/20/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address : COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09943571	8/29/2001	HENKIN ET AL.	KABAP003

BEYER WEAVER LLP  
P.O. BOX 70250  
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250

## EXAMINER

Namrata Boveja

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

3622

20070808

DATE MAILED:

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

## Commissioner for Patents

The reply filed on 03/10/2007 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): Election is the designation of the particular one of two or more disclosed inventions that will be prosecuted in the application. A reply should be made to each point raised by the examiner's action, and may include a traverse or compliance. A traverse of a requirement to restrict is a statement of the reasons upon which the applicant relies for his or her conclusion that the requirement is in error. Applicant has not responded to the restriction requirement by electing one of the two groups of claims. See MPEP § 818 [R-3] and 37 CFR 1.111. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is given **ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).